

## Delegated Decision Notice (DDN)

This form is used to record any delegated decision which has been taken by officers under delegated authority.

Decision titleUK Rural England Prosperity Fund applicationsDecision date4/3/2024Decision makerChief Executive (in consultation with Cabinet Member for the Economy and Council Transformation)Source of delegation (resolution or Constitution – please reference)Cabinet resolution 144, 17th July 2023Decision takenTo approve the recommendations of the Rural England Prosperity Fund Business Grant Assessment Panel and award funding to a businesses in the district. (See annex)Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenAllLead officerPaul JamesList of documents consideredN/a	Decision title	LIK Dunal England Duage onity Eurod and Lighting		
Decision makerChief Executive (in consultation with Cabinet Member for the Economy and Council Transformation)Source of delegation (resolution or Constitution – please reference)Cabinet resolution 144, 17th July 2023Decision takenTo approve the recommendations of the Rural England Prosperity Fund Business Grant Assessment Panel and award funding to a businesses in the district. (See annex)Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a		<b>3</b> 1 <i>1</i> 11		
Economy and Council Transformation)Source of delegation (resolution or Constitution – please reference)Cabinet resolution 144, 17th July 2023Decision takenTo approve the recommendations of the Rural England Prosperity Fund Businesse Grant Assessment Panel and award funding to a businesses in the district. (See annex)Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant Assessment Panel, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a				
Source of delegation (resolution or Constitution – please reference)Cabinet resolution 144, 17th July 2023Decision takenTo approve the recommendations of the Rural England Prosperity Fund Business Grant Assessment Panel and award funding to a businesses in the district. (See annex)Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	Decision maker			
(resolution or Constitution – please reference)To approve the recommendations of the Rural England Prosperity Fund Business Grant Assessment Panel and award funding to a businesses in the district. (See annex)Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a				
Constitution – please reference)To approve the recommendations of the Rural England Prosperity Fund Business Grant Assessment Panel and award funding to a businesses in the district. (See annex)Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	Source of delegation	Cabinet resolution 144, 17 <sup>th</sup> July 2023		
reference)Decision takenTo approve the recommendations of the Rural England Prosperity Fund Business Grant Assessment Panel and award funding to a businesses in the district. (See annex)Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	(resolution or			
Decision takenTo approve the recommendations of the Rural England Prosperity Fund Business Grant Assessment Panel and award funding to a businesses in the district. (See annex)Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	Constitution – please			
Prosperity Fund Business Grant Assessment Panel and award funding to a businesses in the district. (See annex)Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultationThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	reference)			
Prosperity Fund Business Grant Assessment Panel and award funding to a businesses in the district. (See annex)Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	Decision taken	To approve the recommendations of the Rural England		
Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a				
Reasons for the decisionTo enable the Council to make use of the Rural England Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a		funding to a businesses in the district. (See annex)		
approve applications by businesses in the District which will help the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	Reasons for the			
the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	decision	Prosperity Funding allocated to it by Government and to		
REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a		approve applications by businesses in the District which will help		
REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.Alternative options consideredNot to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a		the Council to deliver the outputs and outcomes set out in the		
consideredrisk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a		REPF Local Investment Plan addendum.		
the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	Alternative options	Not to approve the panel's recommendations. To do so may		
Key or non-key?Non-KeySubject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	considered	risk failing to spend the allocation available and not delivering		
Subject to urgency rules?NoAffected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a		the outputs and outcomes expected of the Council.		
rules?AllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	Key or non-key?	Non-Key		
rules?Affected wardsAllDetails of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	Subject to urgency	No		
Details of consultation undertakenThe decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	rules?			
consultationthe REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	Affected wards	All		
undertakeninternal and external stakeholders. The approach to the Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a	Details of	The decision was taken on the basis of the recommendations of		
Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold     Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from     a wide range of stakeholders.     Lead officer   Paul James     List of documents   N/a	consultation	the REPF Business Grant Assessment Panel, which included both		
Business Grant scheme was discussed with the Cotswold     Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from a wide range of stakeholders.     Lead officer   Paul James     List of documents   N/a	undertaken	internal and external stakeholders. The approach to the		
a wide range of stakeholders.   Lead officer Paul James   List of documents N/a				
a wide range of stakeholders.   Lead officer Paul James   List of documents N/a		Economic Advisory Group, which has membership drawn from		
Lead officerPaul JamesList of documentsN/a		, , ,		
List of documents N/a	Lead officer	•		
considered	List of documents	5		
	considered			



Annex I

## **REPF Business Grant Applications Approved**

Applicant	Project	Amount
Mickleton Hills Farm Ltd	Car park resurfacing	£50,000
Total		£50,000